arXiv:1409.4756v1 [physics.gen-ph] 16 Sep 2014

World-line geometry probed by fast spinning particle.

Alexei A. Deriglazov[1,2,*] and Walberto Guzmán Ramírez[1,†]

[1]*Depto. de Matemática, ICE, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora, MG, Brasil*
[2]*Laboratory of Mathematical Physics, Tomsk Polytechnic University, 634050 Tomsk, Lenin Ave. 30, Russian Federation*

(Dated: September 18, 2014)

Interaction of spin with electromagnetic field yields an effective metric along the world line of spinning particle. If we insist to preserve the usual special-relativity definitions of time and distance, critical speed which spinning particle can not overcome during its evolution in external field differs from the speed of light. Instead, we can follow the general-relativity prescription to define physical time and distance in the presence of electromagnetic field. With these definitions, critical speed coincides with the speed of light. Effective metric arises also when spin interacts with gravitational field.

Typical relativistic equations of motion (EM) have singularity at some value of a particle velocity. For instance, the standard Lagrangian of spinless particle in electromagnetic field

$$L = \frac{1}{2\lambda}\dot{x}^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}m^2c^2 + \frac{e}{c}A\dot{x}, \qquad (1)$$

implies the equations $\frac{d}{d\tau}\left[\frac{\dot{x}^\mu}{\sqrt{-\dot{x}^2}}\right] = \frac{e}{mc^2}F^\mu{}_\nu\dot{x}^\nu$, which became singular as $\dot{x}^2 \to 0$. Using reparametrization invariance of EM, we take physical time as the parameter, $\tau = t$, then $x^\mu = (ct, \mathbf{x}(t))$, $\dot{x}^\mu = (c, \mathbf{v}(t))$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\dot{x}^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c^2-\mathbf{v}^2}}$. For the acceleration $\mathbf{a} = \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt}$, the EM imply $\mathbf{va} = [c^2-\mathbf{v}^2]^{\frac{3}{2}}\frac{e(\mathbf{vE})}{mc^3}$, that is the longitudinal acceleration vanishes as $|\mathbf{v}| \to c$. Hence the singularity implies that the particles speed can not exceed the value c.

We will use the following terminology. The speed v_{cr} that a particle can not exceed during its evolution in an external field is called critical speed. The observer independent scale c of special relativity is called, as usual, the speed of light. According to the expression for $\mathbf{a}$ above, critical speed of a spinless particle coincides with the speed of light.

Below we show that this situation changes in special relativity of spinning matter: if we preserve the usual special-relativity definitions of time and distance, the speed of light does not represent special point of manifestly relativistic EM of a particle with spin.

We consider the Lagrangian formulation of Frenkel electron [1] on an arbitrary electromagnetic background which has been obtained in [2]. We demonstrate that interaction of Frenkel spin-tensor with electromagnetic field necessarily modifies the factor $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\dot{x}^\mu\eta_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\nu}}$, this replaced by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\dot{x}^\mu g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\nu}}$, where spin/field-dependent matrix $g_{\mu\nu}$ appeared instead of the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$. The critical speed is determined now from the equation $\dot{x}g\dot{x} = 0$. If we insist on usual special-relativity definitions of time and distance, then even for rather simple electromagnetic configurations this equation yields the critical speed a little more than the speed of light.

Next we suggest a possibility to maintain the equality $v_{cr} = c$. This can be achieved supposing that infinitesimal intervals of physical time and distance in electromagnetic field should be defined according to general-relativity prescription, that is in a space-time with the effective metric $g_{\mu\nu}$.

Similar ideas were mentioned before in a number of works. The appearance of trajectories with space-like four-velocity was remarked by Hanson and Regge in their model of spherical top in electromagnetic field [3]. Space-like trajectories of the model in gravitational fields were studied by Hojman, Regge [4] and by Hojman, Asenjo [5]. A possibility of deformed relation between proper and laboratory time in the presence of electromagnetic field was discussed by van Holten in his model of spin [6].

Consider spinning particle with mass m, electric charge e and magnetic moment μ interacting with an arbitrary electromagnetic field $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$. The manifestly Poincare and reparametrization invariant Lagrangian on configuration space with coordinates $x^\mu(\tau)$, $\omega^\mu(\tau)$ and $\lambda(\tau)$ reads [2]

$$L = \frac{1}{4\lambda}\left[\dot{x}N\dot{x} + \lambda D\omega N D\omega - \sqrt{\left[\dot{x}N\dot{x} + \lambda D\omega N D\omega\right]^2 - 4\lambda(\dot{x}ND\omega)^2}\right] - \frac{\lambda}{2}m^2c^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2\omega^2} + \frac{e}{c}A\dot{x}. \qquad (2)$$

This depends on one free parameter $\alpha = \frac{3\hbar^2}{4}$, this particular value corresponds to spin one-half particle. Similarly to Eq. (1), the only auxiliary variable is λ, this provides the mass-shell condition. It has been denoted $N^{\mu\nu} \equiv \eta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\omega^\mu\omega^\nu}{\omega^2}$, then $N^{\mu\nu}\omega_\nu = 0$. To introduce coupling of the position variable x with electromagnetic field, we have added the minimal interaction $\frac{e}{c}A_\mu\dot{x}^\mu$. As for basic variables of spin ω^μ, they couple with A^μ through the term $D\omega^\mu \equiv \dot{\omega}^\mu - \lambda\frac{e\mu}{c}F^{\mu\nu}\omega_\nu$. The Frenkel spin-tensor is a composite quantity constructed from ω^μ and

*Electronic address: alexei.deriglazov@ufjf.edu.br
†Electronic address: wguzman@cbpf.br

its conjugated momentum π^μ as follows[1]:

$$J^{\mu\nu} = 2(\omega^\mu\pi^\nu - \omega^\nu\pi^\mu) = (J^{i0} = D^i,\ J_{ij} = 2\epsilon_{ijk}S_k). \quad (3)$$

Here S_i is three-dimensional spin-vector and D_i is dipole electric moment [7].

The action implies EM which generalize those of Frenkel and Bargmann-Michel-Telegdi to the case of an arbitrary electromagnetic field. They have been studied in [8], [2]. For the present discussion we need only symbolic form of the equation for position variable, this reads

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\left[\frac{\dot{x}^\mu}{\sqrt{-\dot{x}g\dot{x}}}\right] = f^\mu\,, \quad (4)$$

where f^μ is the polynomial $f^\mu = \sqrt{-\dot{x}g\dot{x}}a_1 + a_2 + \frac{a_3}{\sqrt{-\dot{x}g\dot{x}}} + \frac{a_4}{\dot{x}g\dot{x}}$, with the coefficients a_i that are finite as $\dot{x}g\dot{x} \to 0$. The effective metric arises for the particle with anomalous magnetic moment $\mu \neq 1$

$$g_{\mu\nu} = [\eta + b(\mu-1)(JF+FJ) + b^2(\mu-1)^2FJJF]_{\mu\nu}, \quad (5)$$

where $b \equiv \frac{-2e}{4m^2c^3 - 3e\mu(JF)}$. The variational problem (2) yields also the value-of-spin and Pirani [9–11] conditions

$$J^{\mu\nu}J_{\mu\nu} = 6\hbar^2, \quad J^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{P}_\nu = 0, \quad (6)$$

where the canonical momentum is $\mathcal{P}_\nu = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^\nu} - \frac{e}{c}A_\nu$. They provide consistent quantization which yields one-particle (positive energy) sector of the Dirac equation, see [12].

Inclusion of these constraints into a variational problem, as well as the search for an interaction consistent with them turn out to be rather non trivial tasks [3, 13], and the expression (2) is probably the only solution of the problem. So, the appearance of effective metric (5) in Eq. (4) seems to be unavoidable in a systematically constructed model of spinning particle.

The speed of light does not represent special point of the manifestly relativistic equation (4). Singularity occurs at the critical velocity $\mathbf{v}_{cr}$ determined by the equation $\dot{x}g\dot{x} = 0$. We analyze this equation for the case of stationary homogeneous field, $\partial_\alpha F_{\mu\nu} = 0$. Using the consequence $(\dot{x}JF\dot{x}) = -b(\mu-1)(\dot{x}FJJF\dot{x})$ of the Pirani condition, and the expression $J^\mu{}_\alpha J^{\alpha\nu} = -4\left[\pi^2\omega^\mu\omega^\nu + \omega^2\pi^\mu\pi^\nu\right]$, we write

$$-(\dot{x}g\dot{x}) = -\dot{x}^2 + 4b^2(\mu-1)^2\left[\pi^2(\omega F\dot{x})^2 + \omega^2(\pi F\dot{x})^2\right]. \quad (7)$$

As π and ω are space-like vectors [8], the last term is nonnegative, so $|\mathbf{v}_{cr}| \geq c$. Let us confirm that generally this term is nonvanishing function of velocity, then $|\mathbf{v}_{cr}| > c$. Assume the contrary, that this term vanishes at some velocity, then

$$\omega F\dot{x} = -\omega^0(\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}) + (\boldsymbol{\omega}, c\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}) = 0\,,$$

$$\pi F\dot{x} = -\pi^0(\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}) + (\boldsymbol{\pi}, c\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}) = 0\,. \quad (8)$$

This implies $c(\mathbf{DE}) + (\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}) = 0$. Consider the case $\mathbf{B} = 0$, then it should be $(\mathbf{DE}) = 0$. On other hand, for the homogeneous field the quantity $J^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} = 2\left[(\mathbf{DE}) + 2(\mathbf{SB})\right] = 2(\mathbf{DE})$ is a constant of motion [8]. Hence we can take the initial conditions for spin such, that $(\mathbf{DE}) \neq 0$ at any instant.

Thus, the critical speed does not always coincide with the speed of light and, in general case, we expect that $\mathbf{v}_{cr}$ is both field and spin-dependent quantity. Using spacial part of Eq. (4), we can estimate acceleration near v_{cr}. Explicit computation gives $\mathbf{va} \sim \sqrt{-\dot{x}g\dot{x}}$, that is the acceleration along the direction of velocity vanishes as $|\mathbf{v}| \to v_{cr} > c$.

To see, whether we can keep the condition $v_{cr} = c$, we use formal similarity of the matrix g appeared in (4) with space-time metric. So, let us stop for a moment to discuss the definitions of velocity and acceleration in general relativity. Consider pseudo Riemann space

$$\mathbf{M}^{(1,3)} = \{x^\mu,\ g_{\mu\nu}(x^\rho),\ g_{00} < 0\}. \quad (9)$$

Physical velocity and acceleration can be defined in such a way that speed of light represents an observer independent quantity and, more over, a particle during its evolution in curved background can not exceed the speed of light.

To achieve this, we represent interval in $1+3$ block-diagonal form [14]

$$-ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = -c^2\left[\frac{\sqrt{-g_{00}}}{c}(dx^0 + \frac{g_{0i}}{g_{00}}dx^i)\right]^2 + \left(g_{ij} - \frac{g_{0i}g_{0j}}{g_{00}}\right)dx^i dx^j \quad (10)$$

This prompts to introduce infinitesimal time interval, distance and speed as follows:

$$dt = \frac{\sqrt{-g_{00}}}{c}(dx^0 + \frac{g_{0i}}{g_{00}}dx^i),$$
$$dl^2 = (g_{ij} - \frac{g_{0i}g_{0j}}{g_{00}})dx^i dx^j \equiv \gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \quad v = \frac{dl}{dt}. \quad (11)$$

The conversion factor between the world time x^0 and the physical time t is

$$\frac{dt}{dx^0} = \frac{\sqrt{-g_{00}}}{c}(1 + \frac{g_{0i}}{g_{00}}\frac{dx^i}{dx^0}). \quad (12)$$

Introduce also the three-velocity vector

$$v^i = \left(\frac{dt}{dx^0}\right)^{-1}\frac{dx^i}{dx^0}, \quad (13)$$

or, symbolically $v^i = \frac{dx^i}{dt}$. This is consistent with the above definition of v: $v^2 = \left(\frac{dl}{dt}\right)^2 = \mathbf{v}^2 = v^i\gamma_{ij}v^j$. In the result, the interval (10) acquires the form similar to special relativity (but now we have $\mathbf{v}^2 = \mathbf{v}\gamma\mathbf{v}$)

$$-ds^2 = -c^2dt^2 + dl^2 = -c^2dt^2\left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{v}^2}{c^2}\right). \quad (14)$$

[1] The basic variables ω^μ do not represent observable quantities since they are not invariant under spin-plane local symmetry presented in the model [12].

This equality holds in any coordinate system x^μ. Hence all observers conclude that a particle with $ds^2 = 0$ has the speed $\mathbf{v}^2 = c^2$.

To proceed further, we associate with $\mathbf{M}^{(1,3)}$ the one-parameter family of three-dimensional spaces $\mathbf{M}^3_{x^0} = \{x^k,\ \gamma_{ij},\ D_k\gamma_{ij} = 0\}$. The covariant derivative D_k is constructed with help of three-dimensional metric $\gamma_{ij}(x^0, x^k)$ written in Eq. (11), where x^0 is considered as a parameter (below we also use $D_0 = \frac{dx^k}{dx^0}D_k$). Note that velocity has been defined above with help of the curve in $\mathbf{M}^3_{x^0}$ parameterized by this parameter, $x^i(x^0)$.

To define an acceleration, we need to adopt some notion of a constant vector field (parallel transport equation) in this space. In Euclidean space the scalar product of two constant fields has the same value at any point. In particular, taking the scalar product along a line $x^i(x^0)$, we have $\frac{d}{dx^0}(\xi, \eta) = 0$. For the constant fields in our case it is natural to demand the same (necessary) condition: $\frac{d}{dx^0}[\xi^i(x^0)\gamma_{ij}(x^0, x^i(x^0))\eta^i(x^0)] = 0$. Taking into account that $D_k\gamma_{ij} = 0$, this condition can be written as follows

$$(D_0\xi + \frac{1}{2}(\xi\partial_0\gamma\gamma^{-1}), \eta) + (\xi, D_0\eta + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{-1}\partial_0\gamma\eta)) = 0.$$

So we take the parallel-transport equation to be

$$D_0\xi^i + \frac{1}{2}(\xi\partial_0\gamma\gamma^{-1})^i = 0. \tag{15}$$

Then we define the acceleration with respect to physical time as follows:

$$a^i = \left(\frac{dt}{dx^0}\right)^{-1}\left[D_0v^i + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{v}\partial_0\gamma\gamma^{-1})^i\right]. \tag{16}$$

For the special case of constant gravitational field, $g_{\mu\nu}(x^i)$, the definition (16) reduces to that of Landau and Lifshitz, see page 251 in [14].

Let us estimate the acceleration as $v \to c$. Particle in general relativity propagates along geodesics of $\mathbf{M}^{(1,3)}$, with the reparametrization-invariant equation being

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\left(\frac{\dot{x}^\mu}{\sqrt{-\dot{x}g\dot{x}}}\right) + \Gamma^\mu{}_{\alpha\beta}(g)\dot{x}^\alpha\frac{\dot{x}^\beta}{\sqrt{-\dot{x}g\dot{x}}} = 0. \tag{17}$$

To see, which equation for a^i implied by (17), we take $\tau = x^0$ in its spacial part, and write the resulting expression through v^i and then through a^i. Straightforward computation gives the expression

$$a^i = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d\tau}{dx^0}\right)^{-1}\left[(\mathbf{v}\partial_0\gamma\gamma^{-1})^i - \frac{(\mathbf{v}\partial_0\gamma\mathbf{v})}{c^2}v^i\right] + \left(\delta^i{}_j - \frac{v^i(\mathbf{v}\gamma)_j}{c^2}\right)[\tilde{\Gamma}^j{}_{kl}(\gamma)v^kv^l - \left(\frac{d\tau}{dx^0}\right)^{-2}\Gamma^j{}_{00} - \Gamma^j{}_{kl}v^kv^l - 2\left(\frac{d\tau}{dx^0}\right)^{-1}\Gamma^j{}_{0k}v^k]. \tag{18}$$

Then the acceleration along the velocity is

$$\mathbf{v}\gamma\mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d\tau}{dx^0}\right)^{-1}\left[(\mathbf{v}\partial_0\gamma\mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{v}\partial_0\gamma\mathbf{v})\frac{(\mathbf{v}\gamma\mathbf{v})}{c^2}\right] + \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{v}\gamma\mathbf{v}}{c^2}\right)(\mathbf{v}\gamma)_i[\tilde{\Gamma}^i{}_{kl}(\gamma)v^kv^l - \left(\frac{d\tau}{dx^0}\right)^{-2}\Gamma^i{}_{00} - \Gamma^i{}_{jk}v^jv^k - 2\left(\frac{d\tau}{dx^0}\right)^{-1}\Gamma^i{}_{0k}v^k]. \tag{19}$$

This implies $\mathbf{v}\gamma\mathbf{a} \to 0$ as $\mathbf{v}\gamma\mathbf{v} \to c^2$. That is acceleration along the direction of velocity vanishes as the speed approximates the speed of light. With these definitions the spinless particle in an external gravitational field can not overcome the speed of light.

The last term in the definition (16) yields the important factor $(\mathbf{v}\partial_0\gamma\gamma^{-1})^i$ in Eq. (18). As EM (18) do not contain $\sqrt{c^2 - \mathbf{v}\gamma\mathbf{v}}$, they have sense when $v > v_{cr}$. Without this factor, the particle could exceed c and then continues to accelerate.

The construction can be applied, without modifications, to the effective metric (5) appeared in EM of spinning particle (4). Adopting that velocity and acceleration of spinning particle in electromagnetic field are given by Eqs. (13) and (16), we obtain the theory with critical speed equal to the speed of light.

Due to $\mu - 1$-factor in Eq. (5), the deformation of world-line geometry in electromagnetic field will be seen only by a particle with anomalous magnetic moment. In a gravitational field the deformed geometry could be probed by any spinning particle. To see this, let us consider the Frenkel electron in a curved background with the metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. We use the model constructed in [15]. The Lagrangian can be obtained from (2) (with $A^\mu = 0$) replacing $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ by $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and usual derivative of ω^μ by the covariant derivative, $\dot{\omega}^\mu \to D\omega^\mu = \dot{\omega}^\mu + \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{g})\dot{x}^\alpha\omega^\beta$. This leads to EM consistent with those of Papapetrou [16], the latter are widely assumed as the reasonable equations of spinning particle in gravitational fields [5, 17–19]. In the EM (4) now appears the effective metric [15]

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \left[\tilde{g} + \beta(J\theta + \theta J) + \beta^2\theta J J\theta\right]_{\mu\nu}, \tag{20}$$

where $\beta \equiv \frac{1}{8m^2c^2 - J\cdot\theta}$, $\theta_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}J^{\alpha\beta}$ and $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(\tilde{g})$ is the curvature tensor. Hence, to guarantee the observer-independence of c and the equality $v_{cr} = c$, we need to define velocity (13) and acceleration (16) using the deformed metric g instead of $\tilde{g}$.

Acknowledgments. The work of AAD has been supported by the Brazilian foundation CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico - Brasil). WGR thanks CAPES for the financial support (Programm PNPD/2011).

[1] J. Frenkel, Nature **117** (1926) 653.
[2] A. A. Deriglazov, Phys. Lett. **B 736** (2014) 278.
[3] A. J. Hanson and T. Regge, Annals of Physics **87(2)** (1974) 498.
[4] S. A. Hojman and T. Regge, *in: Studies in Mathematical Physics, Essays in Honor of Valentin Bargmann*, p.195, (Edd. E. H. Lieb, B. Simon and A. S. Wightman, Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press, 1976).
[5] S. A. Hojman and F. A. Asenjo, Class. Quantum Grav. **30** (2013) 025008.
[6] J. W. van Holten, Nucl. Phys. **B 356** (1991) 3.
[7] A. O. Barut, *Electrodynamics and Classical Theory of Fields and Particles* (MacMillan, New York 1964).
[8] A. A. Deriglazov and A. M. Pupasov-Maksimov, Nucl. Phys. **B 885** (2014) 1.
[9] F. A. E. Pirani, Acta. Phys. Pol. **15** (1956) 389.
[10] W. G. Dixon, Nuovo. Cim. **34** (1964) 317.
[11] W. Tulczyjew, Acta Phys. Pol. **18** (1959) 393.
[12] A. A. Deriglazov and A. M. Pupasov-Maksimov, *Lagrangian for Frenkel electron and position's non-commutativity due to spin*, European Phys. J. **C** 2014, in print; arXiv:1312.6247.
[13] M. Mukunda, H. van Dam and L. C. Biedenharn, *Relativistic models of extended hadrons obeying a mass-spin trajectory constraint*, Lecture Notes in Physics, **165** (Springer-Verlag, 1982).
[14] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Classical Theory of Fields, Course of Theoretical Physics, V. 2* (Pergamon Press, third ed., 2011).
[15] W. G. Ramirez, A. A. Deriglazov and A. M. Pupasov-Maksimov, JHEP **03** (2014) 109.
[16] A. Papapetrou, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. **A 209** (1951) 248.
[17] N. J. Poplawski, Phys. Lett. **B 690** (2010) 73.
[18] T. Shiromizu, Phys. Lett. **B 460** (1999) 141.
[19] C. Chicone, B. Mashhoon and B. Punsly, Phys. Lett. **A 343** (2005) 1.